

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

cic

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/808,696	03/25/2004	Gudmundur Johannsson	31611-5A	6059	
24256	7590 10/18/2005		EXAMINER		
DINSMORE & SHOHL, LLP 1900 CHEMED CENTER			MOHAMED, ABDEL A		
	FTH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CINCINNAT	T, OH 45202		1654		

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/808,696	JOHANNSSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Abdel A. Mohamed	1654		

Defense the Filing of an Annual Duief						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Abdel A. Mohamed	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	Iress			
THE REPLY FILED 05 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	offidavit, or other evidence with 37 of the compliance with 37 of the	ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths from the period for reply expires						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		INST REFLT WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>05 October 2005</u> . A b the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl	, or any extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid di	ismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS	,, p		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-19</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a N	Notice of Appeal will a	not be entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.			
The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	lered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition fo	allowance			
See Continuation Sheet.  12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).				
13. Other:	12		1			
		JONWERER				
	CURENA					

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is noted that Applicant has amended independent claim 11 to recite that the patient is not growth hormone deficient. However, Applicant has not pointed out where it is supported in the specification and the Examiner could not locate the above limitation in the instant specification.